

HCR ALERT

June 20, 2018

DOL Releases Final Rule Expanding Association Health Plans

The U.S. Department of Labor (DOL) has issued a final rule expanding the opportunity of unrelated employers of all sizes (but particularly small employers) to offer employment-based health insurance through Association Health Plans (AHPs). Significantly, the final rule applies “large group” coverage rules under the Affordable Care Act (ACA) to qualifying AHPs.

The final rule confirms that AHPs may be formed by employers in the same trade, industry, line or businesses, or profession. They may also be formed based on a geographic test such as a common state, city, county or same metropolitan area (even if the metropolitan area includes more than one State).

The final rule contains staggered effective dates:

- All associations (new or existing) may establish a fully insured AHP beginning September 1, 2018.
- Existing associations that sponsored an AHP on or before the date the final rule was published may establish a self-insured AHP beginning January 1, 2019.
- All other associations (new or existing) may establish a self-insured AHP beginning April 1, 2019.

We will expand upon these issues in future alerts. In the meantime, highlights of the final rule are as follows:

- Existing bona fide associations may continue to rely on prior DOL guidance. The final rule provides an **additional** mechanism for AHPs to sponsor a single ERISA-covered group health plan.
- AHPs may self-insure under the final rule; however, the DOL anticipates that many AHPs will be subject to state benefit mandates. States retain the authority to adopt minimum benefit standards, including standards similar to those applicable to individual and small group insurance policies under the ACA, for all AHPs.
- The primary purpose of the association may be to offer health coverage to its members; however, it also must have at least one substantial business purpose unrelated to providing health coverage or other employee benefits.
 - A “substantial business purpose” is considered to exist if the group would be a viable entity in the absence of sponsoring an employee benefit plan.
- The employer members of an association must control the functions and activities of the association, and the employer members that participate in the group health plan must control the plan. **Control must be present both in form and in substance.**
 - Whether “control” exists is a facts and circumstances determination. The DOL considers the following factors to be particularly relevant for this analysis: (1) whether employer members regularly nominate and elect the governing body of the association and the plan; (2) whether employer members have authority to remove a member of the governing body with or without cause; and (3) whether employer members that participate in the plan have the authority and opportunity to approve or veto decisions

which relate to the formation, design, amendment, and termination of the plan, for example, material amendments to the plan, including changes in coverage, benefits, and premiums.

- The AHP must limit enrollment to current employees (and their beneficiaries, such as spouses and children), or former employees of a current employer member who became eligible for coverage when the former employee was an employee of the employer.
- An AHP may not experience-rate each employer member based on the health status of its employees; however, an AHP may charge different premiums as long as they're not based on health factors. For example, employees of participating employers may be charged different premiums based on their industry subsector or occupation (e.g., cashier, stockers, and sales associates) or full-time vs. part-time status.
- The final rule reduces the requirement for working owners. To be eligible to participate they must work an average of 20 hours per week or 80 hours per month (the proposed rule required an average of 30 hours per week or 120 hours per month).
- All AHPs are Multiple Employer Welfare Arrangements (MEWAs) and will need to ensure compliance with existing federal regulatory standards governing MEWAs (such as M-1 filings). The Department intends to reexamine existing reporting requirements for AHPs/MEWAs, including the Form M-1 and possibly the Form 5500, and may be asked to propose class or individual prohibited transaction exemptions for AHPs that want to use affiliates to serve as their administrative service providers or act as issuers providing benefits under the AHP.
- States can continue to regulate AHPs.
- AHPs are subject to the disclosure requirements of Title I of ERISA (e.g., summary plan descriptions, summary of material modifications, etc.) and Summary of Benefits and Coverage (SBC) requirements.
- The Mental Health Parity and Addiction Equity Act of 2008 (MHPAEA), which applies to employers with more than 50 employees on business days during the preceding calendar year, would apply to an AHP so long as the number of employees employed in the aggregate during the preceding calendar year by the employer members of the bona fide group or association.
- The DOL anticipates issuing future guidance on the application of COBRA to AHPs.
- The rule does **not** address the liability of the respective parties to the AHP for violations of the nondiscrimination provisions in the rule, general ERISA reporting and disclosure requirements and fiduciary rules, Code section 4980H (employer mandate) and the related Code sections 6055 and 6056 reporting requirements, Form W-2 reporting, COBRA compliance, and "all of the other responsibilities that come with the maintenance of a single large employer plan." These Code provisions are under the jurisdiction of the IRS and Treasury and the rule refers stakeholders to the relevant Code sections and guidance thereunder.

Next Steps

The release of final regulations expanding the availability of AHPs will come as welcome relief to small employers, who have been subject to strict insurance mandates and market reforms under the Affordable Care Act. The final rule is intended to level the playing field and allows

small employers to band together by common geography or industry to purchase “large group” insurance policies, which are subject to fewer ACA mandates and have greater flexibility in plan design than small group plans, which generally must offer coverage in all ten essential health benefit categories. However, States will continue to be able to regulate AHPs, so it remains to be seen whether some of the flexibility gained under the final rule will be curtailed by the adoption of minimum benefit standards by States.

The rule also enables AHPs to self-insure, which provides an additional avenue for cost-savings by participating employers. While the impact on the ACA Marketplaces and the individual and group insurance markets is unclear and likely will not be felt immediately, opponents of the rule have raised concerns ranging from destabilization of the individual and small group markets (due to AHPs being marketed toward younger, healthier individuals) to consumer protection issues, including insolvency and fraud.

Employers should work closely with qualified ERISA counsel and their trusted advisors when evaluating whether to join an AHP and to ensure that compliance obligations are being met.

About Trion Group, a Marsh & McLennan Agency, LLC (Trion) HCRAAlert!

This content is provided by Trion, a Marsh & McLennan Agency, LLC (“Trion”) in collaboration with our compliance partner, Marathas, Barrow and Weatherhead LLP. The information provided in this alert is not, is not intended to be, and shall not be construed to be, either the provision of legal advice or an offer to provide legal services, nor does it necessarily reflect the opinions of Trion, our lawyers, or our clients. This is not legal advice. No client-lawyer relationship between you and our lawyers is or may be created by your use of this information. Rather, the content is intended as a general overview of the subject matter covered.

While Trion and Marathas Barrow & Weatherhead LLP strive to ensure the accuracy and completeness of these alerts, the publisher, authors, editors, and contributors of the contents are not responsible for any errors or omissions and are not obligated to provide updates on the information presented herein. Trion and Marathas Barrow & Weatherhead LLP do not control or guarantee the accessibility, accuracy, relevance, timeliness, or completeness of outside information for which links may be provided, nor do we endorse any views expressed or products or services offered by such organization or authors.

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (“PPACA”) is a complex law. Any statements made by Trion concerning tax, accounting, or legal matters are based solely on our experience as insurance brokers and risk consultants and are not to be relied upon as accounting, tax, or legal advice. Those reading this alert are encouraged to seek direct counsel from your own tax, accounting and legal advisers as to whether your policies and procedures, health plans, and employee contribution requirements are compliant with the PPACA and with any other questions you have regarding this law.

© 2018 Trion Group, a Marsh & McLennan Agency, LLC Company. All Rights Reserved.

© 2018 Marathas Barrow & Weatherhead LLP. All Rights Reserved.

